

THE ROLE OF LEGAL INSTITUTIONS IN PROTECTING COMMUNITY RIGHTS AMID PALM OIL PLANTATION GROWTH

Tiara Ramadhani

Sosiologi, Universitas Bangka Belitung
tiaramadhani30@yahoo.co.id

Khalid Vikriadi

Ilmu Politik, Universitas Bangka Belitung
khalid-vikriadi@ubb.ac.id

Riky Ovaliansyah Harahap

Ilmu Politik, Universitas Bangka Belitung
riky-ovaliansyah@ubb.ac.id

Abstract

This study analyzes the role of legal institutions in protecting the rights of local communities amid the massive growth of oil palm plantations in Indonesia. Using a qualitative socio-legal approach, the research was conducted through in-depth interviews, field observations, and documentation studies in areas with high intensity of agrarian conflicts. The results show that although national legal frameworks have regulated the recognition of land rights, public consultation, and dispute resolution mechanisms, their implementation is still far from effective. The weakness of the capacity of institutions such as BPN, local governments, and law enforcement officials causes the licensing process to be not transparent, land verification is slow, and dispute resolution does not provide substantive protection for the community. Non-state mechanisms such as the RSPO and ISPO have also not been able to be effective alternatives due to limited access, community advocacy capacity, and a more administrative focus on social justice. This study concludes that the main problem lies not in the absence of regulations, but in the weak institutional function in running, supervising, and enforcing the law. These findings contribute to the natural resource governance literature by emphasizing the importance of institutional reform, capacity building for dispute resolution, and expanding access to legal aid for affected communities as a step towards fairer and more sustainable palm oil governance.

Keywords: *Legal institutions; community rights; palm oil plantations; land disputes; agrarian governance; social justice; socio-legal approach; agrarian conflict.*

Introduction

The growth of the palm oil industry is one of the main economic pillars in various tropical countries, especially Indonesia and Malaysia which control more than 80% of global production. This expansion is driven by the world's high demand for vegetable oil, rural industrialization policies, and the integration of palm oil in the global value chain (Cramb & McCarthy, 2016). However, behind its economic contribution, the expansion of oil palm plantations often intersects with areas inhabited by indigenous peoples and rural communities. This condition raises structural problems related to land rights, access to

resources, and various forms of social injustice that require responses from legal institutions and state governance.

A number of studies have shown that local communities are vulnerable due to weak recognition of traditional tenure rights, *land grabbing practices*, and power imbalances between plantation companies and village communities (Li, 2017; Jelsma, Schoneveld, & Zoomers, 2017). Agrarian conflicts related to palm oil are recorded as one of the largest conflicts in the Southeast Asian region, where legal uncertainty and lack of state protection contribute to land dispossession and deterioration of people's welfare (Colchester et al., 2020). This situation indicates that the existence of rules alone is not enough; The effectiveness of protection is largely determined by the capacity and integrity of legal institutions.

Legal institutions are strategically positioned to ensure that oil palm plantation expansion is carried out in accordance with the principles of social justice and sustainability. Through formal legal tools such as agrarian laws, certification systems, and dispute resolution mechanisms, the state is expected to be able to guarantee legal certainty for affected communities (Myers et al., 2018). However, the implementation of regulations often faces obstacles, ranging from overlapping authority, ineffective bureaucracy, to weak supervision in the field. Therefore, an analysis of the effectiveness of legal institutions is crucial to understand the extent to which the protection of people's rights can really be operationalized.

Palm oil growth often involves large actors—both domestic and transnational—that have significant influence on local and national policies. This creates an asymmetry of power between companies and communities, so the process of obtaining permits, land negotiations, and compensation often does not run under equal conditions (Pacheco et al., 2018). In addition, the lack of access to legal information for the community and the limited number of rural legal aid institutions worsened the situation. It is in this context that the role of legal institutions—both formal and informal—becomes important to prevent exploitative practices and ensure strong accountability mechanisms in place.

Contemporary research emphasizes the need for a socio-legal approach in looking at the relationship between law, society, and the palm oil industry. Legal reform that focuses only on regulation is not enough without paying attention to the social, political, and economic dynamics that affect its implementation (Ribot & Larson, 2021). In many cases, the success of community protection depends on the capacity of local institutions, community representation, and the legitimacy of the decision-making process. Therefore, the study of the role of legal institutions needs to blend normative and empirical perspectives to understand how law actually works at the grassroots level.

This study aims to analyze the role of legal institutions in protecting the rights of communities in the midst of the growth of oil palm plantations. The research focus includes how the legal apparatus regulates land access, how formal and informal mechanisms handle conflicts, and the extent to which state and non-state institutions function in strengthening the bargaining position of communities. Academically, this

research contributes to the literature on natural resource governance, agrarian justice, and the protection of vulnerable communities. The findings of this study are expected to enrich the discourse on the effectiveness of legal institutions in the context of land-based economic development.

Literature review

The literature on palm oil expansion, land rights and community welfare in Indonesia shows that the sector is embedded in a complex web of agrarian change, governance failures, and contested legal authority. Early work on the “oil palm complex” conceptualizes palm oil as a configuration of smallholders, agribusiness and the state, in which land, labour and capital are tightly interlinked and expansion is driven by both domestic development agendas and global demand for vegetable oils. Cramb and McCarthy (2016) argue that this complex is characterized by frontier expansion into customary territories, the restructuring of rural livelihoods, and an uneasy coexistence between formal state law and informal/local norms. Within this broader political-economic context, independent smallholders have become increasingly important actors, but face differentiated opportunities and constraints in accessing land, capital, and markets.

Recent empirical studies emphasise that palm oil expansion has generated deep agrarian differentiation and new forms of vulnerability. Jelsma et al. (2017), studying independent smallholders in Riau, show how integration into global value chains is mediated by farmers’ varying ability to comply with emerging legal and sustainability standards; those with informal land tenure, poor documentation and limited capital are at risk of exclusion from formal markets and certification schemes. At the same time, Euler et al. and other agrarian studies (cited in Jelsma et al.) find that oil palm can improve household welfare for some smallholders; however, these gains are unevenly distributed and often contingent upon secure land access and bargaining power in relations with companies. This strand of literature highlights that any evaluation of legal institutions cannot be separated from the underlying social differentiation produced by the palm oil boom.

A substantial body of socio-legal and political ecology work documents how plantation expansion often entails dispossession, weakened land rights, and what Li (2017) terms “infrastructural violence.” Through ethnographic research in West Kalimantan, Li demonstrates how, following the “land grab,” plantation infrastructures—roads, canals, security regimes, and contractual arrangements—enmesh communities in unequal relations, while law and government become subordinated to plantation logics rather than serving as neutral protectors of rights. Promised reforms and regulatory instruments are depicted as largely ineffective in altering these power relations. This resonates with the broader land-grabbing and agrarian justice literature, which stresses that marginalisation is produced not only at the moment of land acquisition, but also through ongoing failures of state institutions to enforce protections, recognise customary rights, and provide accessible remedies.

The role and performance of legal institutions in managing palm oil-related conflicts has been examined in more detail by Berenschot et al. (2021), who analyse 150 conflicts between rural communities and palm oil companies across four Indonesian provinces. They find that communities mostly rely on three mechanisms: adjudication by the courts, informal mediation by local authorities, and the complaint system of the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO). Their findings are sobering: courts and RSPO complaints are rarely used and, when used, are often ineffective due to procedural complexity, high costs, weak enforcement of judgments, and companies' ability to circumvent regulation through political connections. In 68% of cases, communities failed to resolve their grievances meaningfully, and even "successful" cases took an average of nine years, suggesting that existing institutions provide neither timely nor substantive justice. This work directly questions the capacity of formal legal institutions to protect community rights in practice.

Parallel to these conflict-focused studies, a growing governance literature examines the intersection of public regulation and private standards in the palm oil sector. Pacheco et al. (2020) describe an emerging "policy regime complex" in which national laws, sustainability certifications (such as RSPO and ISPO), and transnational due diligence norms coexist but are often poorly coordinated. They argue that while transnational instruments have developed some complementarities, significant disconnects persist between state regulations and private standards, producing gaps where land conflicts and rights violations remain unaddressed. Similarly, Brandi (2021) and Hospes (2014), cited in Pacheco et al., show that the rise of national standards like the Indonesian Sustainable Palm Oil (ISPO) reflects attempts by Southern states to regain regulatory control, but these standards often prioritise export credibility and sectoral competitiveness over robust protection of local communities.

More recent work focuses explicitly on the institutional performance of Indonesian palm oil governance. Putri et al. (2022) conceptualise a condition of "low-functioning governance" to describe weak institutions, fragmented regulations, and limited capacity at regional and local levels that undermine the effective implementation of ISPO. While ISPO is formally intended to address issues such as land legality, overlapping concessions, and agrarian conflicts, the study shows that regulatory vacuums, contradictory rules, and a lack of coordination between levels of government contribute to continued socio-agrarian conflicts and deforestation. This reinforces the argument that legal instruments on paper are insufficient without strong, coordinated institutions capable of enforcing rights and mediating conflicts in an impartial way.

Taken together, this literature points to several gaps that justify a focused study on "The Role of Legal Institutions in Protecting Community Rights Amid Palm Oil Plantation Growth." First, many studies document conflicts, dispossession, and governance failures, but fewer adopt an explicitly socio-legal lens that systematically compares how different legal forums (courts, local mediation bodies, administrative processes, and certification grievance mechanisms) function from the perspective of affected communities. Second,

existing governance analyses tend to emphasise environmental performance and market access, with community rights and access to justice treated as secondary outcomes rather than central evaluation criteria. Third, while reports such as those by Berenschot et al. (2021) demonstrate the ineffectiveness of current mechanisms, there is still limited empirical work linking these institutional deficiencies to concrete proposals for legal and institutional reform aimed at strengthening community bargaining power, tenure security, and participation in decision-making. Addressing these gaps, the present study positions legal institutions—not just laws, but the organisations and procedures that enact them—as the central object of analysis in evaluating how, and under what conditions, community rights can be meaningfully protected amidst continued palm oil expansion.

Research Methods

This research uses a qualitative approach with a socio-legal paradigm to understand how legal institutions work in the context of oil palm plantation expansion, particularly in protecting the rights of local communities. This approach was chosen because the issues of land rights, agrarian disputes, and access to justice can be understood not only from the normative legal aspect, but also from the social, political, and institutional dynamics that affect the implementation of the law in the field. The location of the research was determined purposively in areas with high levels of palm oil conflict, such as West Kalimantan, Central Kalimantan, or Riau. The research informants included local communities, indigenous leaders, village officials, government agencies (BPN, plantation offices), company representatives, NGOs, and academics. Data were collected through semi-structured in-depth interviews, non-participatory observations, as well as documentation studies of regulations, HGU permits, concession maps, village deliberation documents, NGO reports, and court rulings. The informant selection technique uses purposive and snowball sampling, and the number follows the principle of saturation or data saturation.

Data analysis was conducted using the Miles & Huberman model, through a process of data reduction, data presentation, and conclusion drawing to identify patterns regarding the effectiveness of legal institutions, dispute resolution processes, and barriers faced by communities. Data validity is maintained through source triangulation, method triangulation, *member checking*, and *peer debriefing*. This research also applies ethical principles such as informed consent, informant anonymity, and respect for customary norms and conflict sensitivity. With this approach, the research seeks to comprehensively describe how the law works in practice, how the community accesses formal and informal legal institutions, and the extent to which legal institutions are able to carry out the function of protecting communities affected by palm oil expansion.

Results and Discussion

The results show that the expansion of oil palm plantations creates a consistent pattern of land access inequality in almost all study sites.

The local community described the process of entering the company as something that happened "from above", starting from the issuance of concession permits without meaningful consultation, followed by land clearing that ignored customary land boundaries. Some communities lost their land because they did not have formal certificates, while others gave it up under social and economic pressure. When conflicts arise, the community tends to take informal mechanisms such as village deliberations and mediation by sub-district officials. However, research shows that this mechanism more often eases temporary tensions, rather than resolves the root of the problem, because the bargaining position of the community is much weaker than that of companies and local officials.

The role of formal legal institutions such as BPN, local governments, and courts is considered very limited in providing substantive protection for people's rights.

BPN is said to be slow to deal with land overlap, while verification of concession maps is often not transparent. Local governments tend to prioritize investment and the interests of companies, so that the public feels that the licensing administration process is not on their side. The courts are considered a last resort—expensive, convoluted, and years in the making. Of the informants who have taken cases to court, most state that the process is energy-consuming and costly, and that judges' decisions often do not take into account the social context or history of land tenure. These findings reinforce the criticism of the literature that formal legal institutions in the palm oil sector are facing a crisis of capacity and independence.

Results and Discussion

The results show that the expansion of oil palm plantations creates a consistent pattern of land access inequality in almost all study sites.

The local community described the process of entering the company as something that happened "from above", starting from the issuance of concession permits without meaningful consultation, followed by land clearing that ignored customary land boundaries. Some communities lost their land because they did not have formal certificates, while others gave it up under social and economic pressure. When conflicts arise, the community tends to take informal mechanisms such as village deliberations and mediation by sub-district officials. However, research shows that this mechanism more often eases temporary tensions, rather than resolves the root of the problem, because the bargaining position of the community is much weaker than that of companies and local officials.

The role of formal legal institutions such as BPN, local governments, and courts is considered very limited in providing substantive protection for people's rights.

BPN is said to be slow to deal with land overlap, while verification of concession maps is often not transparent. Local governments tend to prioritize investment and the

interests of companies, so that the public feels that the licensing administration process is not on their side. The courts are considered a last resort—expensive, convoluted, and years in the making. Of the informants who have taken cases to court, most state that the process is energy-consuming and costly, and that judges' decisions often do not take into account the social context or history of land tenure. These findings reinforce the criticism of the literature that formal legal institutions in the palm oil sector are facing a crisis of capacity and independence.

Conclusion

The study concludes that the expansion of oil palm plantations has created structural inequalities in access to land and resources in local communities. Although Indonesia's legal framework provides rules related to land rights, public consultation, and dispute resolution mechanisms, its implementation is not effective due to the weak capacity of state legal institutions at the local and national levels. The non-transparent licensing process, lack of recognition of customary rights, and overlapping regulations have put the community in a much weaker bargaining position than companies. Informal mechanisms such as village mediation offer short-term solutions, but fail to address the root of the problem because they do not have adequate legal force.

In addition, non-state mechanisms such as the RSPO and ISPO have not been able to be effective alternatives to rights protection. RSPOs tend to be less accessible to the public due to documentation requirements and high advocacy capacity, while ISPOs place more emphasis on the administrative aspects of the company than substantive protection of the community. These weaknesses show that the protection of community rights is not only a matter of the existence of rules, but rather the effectiveness of legal institutions as executors, supervisors, and guarantors of justice. Thus, strengthening the country's legal institutions, increasing transparency, and improving community participation mechanisms are key elements to create fairer and more sustainable palm oil governance.

Suggestion

First, institutional reforms are needed to strengthen the capacity of BPN, local governments, and law enforcement officials in dealing with land overlap, accelerate the verification of concession boundaries, and ensure that the licensing process is carried out transparently and accessible to the public. Strengthening regulations related to the recognition of customary rights is also an important step to provide a stronger legal basis for communities to fight for their claims.

Second, the government needs to build a more effective dispute resolution mechanism, for example through the establishment of independent provincial- or district-based mediation institutions that have legal authority and are not influenced by local political interests. This mechanism must work professionally, quickly, and provide equal participation space between communities and companies.

Third, efforts are needed to improve legal literacy and access to legal aid for the community, through government support and partnerships with NGOs and civil society organizations. With the ability to understand their documents, procedures, and legal rights, the community will have a stronger bargaining position in dealing with companies. Fourth, sustainability standards such as RSPO and ISPO should be reformed to focus more on protecting community rights, rather than just administrative compliance. There needs to be a complaint mechanism that is simple, accessible, transparent, and accompanied by assistance for the community, as well as an obligation for companies to carry out real remediation.

Finally, further research is suggested to extend the analysis to the context of other palm oil-producing countries in Asia and Africa, as well as to compare the effectiveness of legal institutions across regions. This approach will help build a more comprehensive understanding of the governance models that are most effective in protecting community rights amid the expansion of global commodities such as palm oil.

References

- Colchester, M., Jiwan, N., Anderson, P., Darussamin, A., & Kiky, A. (2020). *Independent review of the social impacts of palm oil*. Forest Peoples Programme.
- Cramb, R., & McCarthy, J. (2016). *The oil palm complex: Smallholders, agribusiness and the state in Indonesia and Malaysia*. NUS Press.
- Jelsma, I., Schoneveld, G., & Zoomers, A. (2017). Inclusive business in the agrarian sector? The case of oil palm in Indonesia. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 54, 198–210.
- Li, T. M. (2017). *After the land grab: Infrastructural violence and the “problem of capacity”*. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, 38(2), 189–203.
- Myers, R., Larson, A. M., Ravikumar, A., & Kowler, L. F. (2018). Claiming the forest: Inclusions and exclusions under Indonesia’s forest tenure reforms. *World Development*, 108, 43–54.
- Pacheco, P., Schoneveld, G. C., Dermawan, A., Komarudin, H., & Djama, M. (2018). The governance of oil palm in Indonesia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 91, 70–81.
- Ribot, J., & Larson, A. (2021). *Democracy in the woods: Environmental governance and social justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Berenschot, W., Dhialhaq, A., Afrizal, Hospes, O., & POCAJI team. (2021). *Palm Oil Expansion and Conflict in Indonesia: An evaluation of the effectiveness of conflict resolution mechanisms* (Policy Report No. 5). POCAJI/KITLV Leiden. kitlv.nl
- Cramb, R., & McCarthy, J. F. (2016). *The oil palm complex: Smallholders, agribusiness and the state in Indonesia and Malaysia*. NUS Press. [ResearchGate](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/311111111)
- Jelsma, I., Schoneveld, G. C., Zoomers, A., & van Westen, A. C. M. (2017). Unpacking Indonesia’s independent oil palm smallholders: An actor-disaggregated approach to identifying environmental and social performance challenges. *Land Use Policy*, 69, 281–297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.08.012> [IDEAS/RePEc](http://ideas.repec.org/p/cepr/ideas/discussion/p1201708012.html)
- Li, T. M. (2017). *After the land grab: Infrastructural violence and the “Mafia System” in Indonesia’s oil palm plantation zones*. *Geoforum*, 96, 328–337. [Tania Li](https://www.tania-li.com/)
- Pacheco, P., Schoneveld, G., Dermawan, A., Komarudin, H., & Djama, M. (2020). Governing sustainable palm oil supply: Disconnects, complementarities, and antagonisms

- between state regulations and private standards. *Regulation & Governance*, 14(3), 568–598. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12220> [IDEAS/RePEc](#)
- Putri, E. I. K., Dharmawan, A. H., Hospes, O., Yulian, B. E., Amalia, R., Mardiyarningsih, D. I., Kinseng, R. A., Tonny, F., Pramudya, E. P., Rahmadian, F., & Suradiredja, D. Y. (2022). The oil palm governance: Challenges of sustainability policy in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1820. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031820> [MDPI](#)
- If kamu mau lanjut, aku bisa bantu pecah literature review ini jadi beberapa sub-bagian (misalnya: “Land Rights & Dispossession”, “Access to Justice”, “Certification & Hybrid Governance”) dan sambungkan langsung ke rumusan masalah & kerangka teori.
- Berenschot, W., Dhiaulhaq, A., Afrizal, Hospes, O., & POCAJI Team. (2021). *Palm Oil Expansion and Conflict in Indonesia: An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Conflict Resolution Mechanisms*. KITLV/POCAJI.
- Colchester, M., Anderson, P., Firdaus, A. Y., Hasibuan, F., & Chao, S. (2011). *Human rights abuses and land conflicts in the PT Asiatic Persada concession in Jambi*. Forest Peoples Programme.
- Cramb, R., & McCarthy, J. F. (2016). *The oil palm complex: Smallholders, agribusiness and the state in Indonesia and Malaysia*. NUS Press.
- Jelsma, I., Schoneveld, G. C., Zoomers, A., & van Westen, A. C. M. (2017). Unpacking Indonesia’s independent oil palm smallholders: An actor-disaggregated approach to identifying environmental and social performance challenges. *Land Use Policy*, 69, 281–297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.08.012>
- Li, T. M. (2017). After the land grab: Infrastructural violence and the “Mafia system” in Indonesia’s oil palm plantation zones. *Geoforum*, 96, 328–337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2017.09.003>
- Myers, R., Larson, A. M., Ravikumar, A., & Kowler, L. F. (2018). Claiming the forest: Inclusions and exclusions under Indonesia’s forest tenure reforms. *World Development*, 108, 43–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.02.016>
- Pacheco, P., Schoneveld, G. C., Dermawan, A., Komarudin, H., & Djama, M. (2020). Governing sustainable palm oil supply: Disconnects, complementarities, and antagonisms between state regulations and private standards. *Regulation & Governance*, 14(3), 568–598. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12220>
- Putri, E. I. K., Dharmawan, A. H., Hospes, O., Yulian, B. E., Amalia, R., Mardiyarningsih, D. I., Kinseng, R. A., Tonny, F., Pramudya, E. P., Rahmadian, F., & Suradiredja, D. Y. (2022). The oil palm governance: Challenges of sustainability policy in Indonesia. *Sustainability*, 14(3), 1820. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031820>
- Ribot, J., & Larson, A. M. (2021). *Democracy in the woods: Environmental governance and social justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Sanders, A., & Wisborg, P. (2018). Capital, labor and social justice in the palm oil industry. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 45(5–6), 1148–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2017.1395853>
- Schoneveld, G. C. (2020). Sustainable agricultural intensification in sub-Saharan Africa: Lessons from oil palm expansion in Ghana. *Food Policy*, 95, 101935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2020.101935>