LANGUAGE AS A TOOL FOR PROPAGANDA AND MISINFORMATION IN CONFLICT ZONES: IMPLICATIONS ON ANAMBRA RESIDENTS AND INSECURITY

Amaka Yvonne Okafor

Nwafor Orizu College of Education Nsugbe, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Correspondence email: feramyao@gmail.com

Abstract

Language holds immense power in shaping perceptions, constructing realities, and influencing social behavior, especially in contexts of conflict. This study employed a qualitative systematic review to examine how language is used as a tool for propaganda and misinformation in conflict zones, focusing on Anambra State. Literature from 2010 to 2024 was sourced from credible databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, AJOL, and Scopus, using keywords related to language, conflict, and insecurity in Southeast Nigeria. Only empirically grounded studies were included. Data were analyzed thematically, revealing recurring strategies like emotive language, ethnic appeals, and framing government as adversaries. The review followed PRISMA guidelines to ensure transparency, credibility, and minimize bias in presenting how language influences public perception and insecurity. The findings reveal that emotionally charged and biased language, often shared through digital platforms and informal channels, plays a significant role in deepening distrust, spreading fear, and eroding public confidence. In Anambra, where underlying tensions exist, such linguistic manipulation worsens insecurity and fragments social cohesion. The study also emphasizes the role of media literacy in helping individuals critically evaluate information, resist manipulative narratives, and foster informed public discourse. Additionally, the research highlights how language can serve as a bridge for peacebuilding when used inclusively and responsibly. Language, therefore, is central to both the challenges and solutions related to insecurity in conflict-affected societies.

Keywords: *language, misinformation, propaganda, Anambra State, insecurity, media literacy, peacebuilding.*

1. Introduction

Words can wound as deeply as weapons. In conflict zones, the misuse of language becomes a powerful tool for spreading fear, manipulating minds, and deepening divisions. Through distorted narratives, emotionally charged expressions, and misleading information, language is often twisted to incite violence, create panic, and undermine social cohesion and security. In recent times, the misuse of language for spreading propaganda and misinformation has become a major issue in many conflict-affected areas (Oates, 2021). Propaganda refers to the deliberate use of biased, misleading, or emotionally charged language to influence public opinion or behavior in favor of a particular agenda, often political or ideological. It seeks to shape perceptions, manipulate emotions, and control narratives through repetition, exaggeration, or selective presentation of facts. In conflict zones, propaganda is often used to rally support for one side, demonize the opposition, and justify acts of violence or exclusion. It may take the form of speeches, posters, social media posts, or

rumors designed to reinforce fear, loyalty, or hatred, thereby deepening social divisions and undermining objective understanding (Olanrewaju, 2018).

Misinformation is the dissemination of false, inaccurate, or misleading information regardless of intent to deceive. Unlike propaganda, which is often deliberate, misinformation may result from misunderstanding, lack of verification, or reliance on unreliable sources (Okoro & Emmanuel, 2018). It spreads rapidly, especially through social media and informal communication channels, and can cause confusion, panic, and misinformed decision-making. In conflict-affected communities, misinformation often fuels tension, erodes public trust in institutions, and hinders effective crisis response. When left unchecked, it contributes to public fear and community breakdown, creating an environment in which insecurity thrives (Bauman, 2013; Azuka et al, 2022). Insecurity refers to a state of vulnerability where individuals or communities face threats to safety, stability, and well-being, often caused or worsened by conflict or fear.

In southeastern Nigeria, particularly Anambra State, this concern has grown as communities face increasing insecurity. In places where conflict or tension already exists, information is not just shared—it is often weaponized. Carefully crafted words, misleading narratives, and emotionally charged messages find their way into everyday conversations, media, and digital platforms. The result is confusion, fear, and further division. Language has long been a tool for shaping public opinion and influencing behavior. During conflicts, it often becomes a powerful means of distorting reality and rallying people around specific ideologies. Al-Sabbagh et al (2025) highlighted how language can legitimize violence, demonize opponents, and simplify complex issues into emotionally loaded messages. In Nigeria, political actors and non-state groups have often taken advantage of language to deepen ethnic tensions and spread fear.

In Anambra State, the rise in insecurity has been accompanied by a surge in misinformation. Rumors about military raids, attacks, and lockdowns are shared daily across social media platforms like WhatsApp and Facebook. Many of these messages are written in familiar local dialects or Nigerian Pidgin, making them more believable. Clouser (2014) observed that such messages often play on historical grievances and local fears, which gives them an emotional edge and speeds up their spread. The impact on residents is both immediate and long-term. On a daily basis, misinformation creates panic. People close their shops, avoid public spaces, or cancel travel plans based on rumors. Hosking (2019) noted that this constant state of fear disrupts economic activity and weakens trust in government authorities. In the long run, communities become more divided as people grow suspicious of one another. Propaganda tends to paint certain groups as enemies or traitors, leading to growing hostility even among neighbors.

Youths are particularly vulnerable. When propaganda presents violent resistance as heroic, young people with limited economic opportunities may feel drawn to groups that promise protection or purpose. Blaney (2014) explained that such recruitment tactics often rely on persuasive language that glorifies rebellion and vilifies the state. This growing appeal of radicalism poses a serious challenge to peace and stability in the region. The government's communication strategy also plays a role. When official information is delayed, unclear, or inconsistent, people turn to unofficial

sources. This vacuum creates room for rumors to thrive. Hunter (2016) pointed out that misinformation often fills the gap left when public communication fails. In Anambra, the lack of timely and transparent updates from security forces has weakened trust in public institutions, making people more likely to believe false information.

The increasing use of language as a tool for propaganda and misinformation in conflict-prone regions has raised deep concerns about its role in fueling insecurity, especially in southeastern Nigeria. Anambra State, once considered relatively stable, has become a flashpoint where manipulated messages spread through digital platforms now drive fear, distrust, and violence. Despite growing academic interest in conflict communication, few studies have explored the localized impact of linguistic manipulation on everyday life in specific Nigerian states (Sadeghi & Alinasab, 2020). Existing literature tends to focus on general political propaganda or media disinformation, with little attention given to how language choices—such as proverbs, local idioms, and emotional rhetoric—shape public behavior and deepen insecurity in places like Anambra. Moreover, the gap in practical solutions for countering misinformation through culturally grounded communication strategies remains under-examined (Zamir & Kaunert, 2025). This study is therefore motivated by the need to bridge these gaps by exploring how language is used to construct false narratives in conflict contexts and how such usage influences perceptions of safety, trust, and civic responsibility among Anambra residents.

Method

This study adopted a qualitative systematic review approach to explore how language functions as a tool for propaganda and misinformation in conflict zones, with particular emphasis on its implications for residents of Anambra State and the broader issue of insecurity. Scholarly materials were sourced from reputable databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, African Journals Online (AJOL), Scopus, and university repositories. The search included peer-reviewed articles, media publications, NGO reports, and policy briefs published between 2010 and 2024. Keywords such as "language and conflict," "propaganda in Nigeria," "misinformation in Anambra," "media and insecurity," and "linguistic manipulation in Southeast Nigeria" guided the search process. Only literature focused on Nigeria, particularly the Southeast region, and those addressing the role of language in misinformation and conflict were considered relevant. Materials that lacked empirical grounding or were purely opinion-based were excluded to maintain the objectivity and depth of the review.

Once the relevant literature was gathered, each study was carefully examined to extract information relating to the role of language in shaping conflict narratives, influencing public perception, and triggering insecurity. The data from the reviewed texts were synthesized using thematic content analysis. This involved identifying recurring themes, expressions, and linguistic devices used in spreading fear or manipulating social behavior. Common themes that emerged included the use of emotive language to heighten fear, framing of government institutions as enemies, glorification of violence, ethnic and regional appeals, and the erosion of trust in formal information sources. To ensure the credibility and transparency of the review process,

the study followed the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. The inclusion criteria, search process, and analysis approach were clearly documented, and only materials from reputable, verifiable sources were used. This helped to minimize selection bias and strengthened the trustworthiness of the findings.

3. Results
3.1 Language as a Tool for Propaganda

The literature strongly suggests that language plays a powerful and often dangerous role in shaping conflict, particularly in politically fragile contexts like Nigeria. Rather than acting as a neutral tool, language becomes a strategy used to influence perception, evoke emotion, and reinforce divisions. Pate et al. (2019) describe Nigeria's post-truth climate as one where facts are blurred and realities distorted, allowing misinformation and propaganda to thrive. Emotionally charged language is often crafted to provoke fear, mobilize loyalty, or validate aggression. Olerede and Olorede (2015) emphasize how propaganda exploits this tactic to divide societies during unrest. Oludare Oluwadọrọ (2018) reveals how language becomes a battleground itself—either suppressed or emphasized to control narratives and define truth.

In the digital era, social media has intensified this reality Essien (2025) explain that platforms now allow anyone to spread propaganda widely. Echo chambers and algorithms strengthen misleading narratives, giving them a false sense of legitimacy. Language in this context includes tone, imagery, silence, and cultural cues, all shaping how messages are received. Wilde (2024) suggest language can either escalate conflict or promote healing, depending on how it is used. Spencer (2024) and David (2014) note that both democratic and authoritarian systems manipulate language to influence opinion. Yusof et al (2021) highlights how extremist groups target youth with emotionally resonant messaging. Ultimately, language becomes a tool for control—but also a means of resistance and reconciliation.

3.2. Misinformation in Conflict Zones

Digital communication has transformed how people access and share information, particularly in conflict-affected areas like Anambra State. Laniado et al (2018) note that online platforms have blurred geographic boundaries, placing communities in constant contact with misinformation. With widespread internet access in Nigeria, many individuals unintentionally become broadcasters of unverified claims that stoke fear and deepen existing divisions. Chiluwa (2012) identifies social media, especially WhatsApp and Facebook, as hotbeds for ideological clashes and false narratives. In emotionally charged environments, misleading content often spreads quickly, not out of intent to deceive but from panic or misplaced trust. Alfred and Oyebola (2019) add that media sensationalism reinforces stereotypes and distorts public perception, leading to confusion and fragmentation. This creates a cycle where misinformation circulates rapidly, often unchecked.

As Moustaghfir and Brigui (2024) observe, the speed of digital content creation has outpaced society's ability to critically evaluate information. Misleading stories

often play on existing fears and anxieties. Drałus and Wichłacz (2025) warns that emotionally loaded or metaphorical language can cloud judgment and deepen divisions, making communities more vulnerable to manipulation. Bragazzi and Garbarino (2024) argue that while conflict can spark social change, misinformation makes it destructive. Responsible communication, peace-focused journalism, and media literacy are essential in turning discourse toward reconciliation instead of discord.

3.3. Insecurity

Since the rise of Boko Haram in 2010, Nigeria's security landscape has deteriorated, evolving from a regional insurgency in the North-East into widespread violence across all six geopolitical zones. Issues such as banditry, kidnappings, herder-farmer clashes, and ethnic militia movements have emerged, displacing thousands and exposing deep weaknesses in national security structures (Oludare Oluwadọrọ, 2018; Nwaigwe et al, 2025; Chinedu et al, 2025). Chad offers a sobering comparison. Despite its strategic and resource-rich status, the country suffers from conflict driven by poor management of ethnic and linguistic diversity. A lack of inclusive communication and failure to respect cultural identities have fueled internal divisions, leading to government instability and weakened institutions (Ireju et al, 2014). Disputes over language, particularly the dominance of English over native tongues, have exacerbated feelings of exclusion and mistrust.

These dynamics forced leaders like Idris Derby Tesson into exile, and military power struggles now dominate Chad's governance. Nigeria, though not yet at this point, shows similar warning signs. Language, often used politically to centralize control, risks alienating subregions. Unless proactive, inclusive strategies are adopted, Nigeria may follow a similar path. Encouragingly, neighboring nations like Niger and Mali have shown that peacebuilding rooted in cultural respect and local identity can foster stability. Nigeria's long-term security depends on recognizing that peace requires more than force—it needs inclusive representation and respectful national dialogue.

3.4. Insecurity and Its Implications for Anambra State

Insecurity in Anambra State is closely connected to the deliberate misuse of language through propaganda and misinformation. Olanrewaju (2018) highlight that when false information spreads unchecked, it often triggers fear and confusion within communities, creating an atmosphere of uncertainty. This climate makes it easier for divisive messages to take root and harder for trust to flourish. Understanding how language can be used to manipulate public perception is essential to forming an effective response to these challenges. Oluwadọrọ (2018) recommends that counter-narratives rooted in cultural and linguistic awareness can serve as tools to empower local communities. Promoting open and critical conversations around language gives people the power to challenge misleading narratives and reduce their influence.

Anambra State, situated in Nigeria's southeast region, was formed in 1976 from the former East Central State. As of 2021, the National Bureau of Statistics estimated its population at over five million. The state includes twenty-one Local Government

Areas, among them Aguata, Awka North, Awka South, Nnewi North, Nnewi South, Onitsha North, and Onitsha South. Major cities such as Onitsha, known for its thriving market, and Nnewi, recognized for its industrial ventures, shape the state's economic identity. Awka remains significant for its traditional and cultural relevance within Igbo society.

Anambra's diverse ethnic makeup enriches its linguistic profile. The primary language is Igbo, and ongoing efforts to harmonize the region's dialects have led to the development of Standard Igbo. This unified form of communication supports both cultural cohesion and the resistance to divisive rhetoric. In this context, addressing insecurity requires more than physical intervention. It involves nurturing a shared sense of identity, promoting truthful communication, and fostering trust among the people. Language, when used wisely, becomes a bridge that connects communities and a shield that protects them from the dangers of misinformation.

2.5. The Role of Media Literacy

Media literacy stands as a powerful tool for addressing the growing challenges posed by propaganda and misinformation. In communities like Anambra State, where insecurity is often intensified through the spread of misleading narratives, the ability to critically engage with media content becomes essential. Taiwo and Igwebuike (2015) argue that when individuals are taught to evaluate what they read, hear, or watch, they become less vulnerable to manipulation. Alfred and Oyebola (2019) further emphasize that cultivating such critical awareness builds collective resilience, enabling communities to reject harmful information and foster informed discourse.

At its core, media literacy extends beyond passive consumption. It includes the capacity to question, interpret, and responsibly create content in a media-saturated environment. Kellner and Share (2019) points out that true media literacy empowers individuals to not only dissect messages but also to understand the motives behind them. However, much of the academic focus tends to narrow this concept to message decoding, leaving out the broader social and political implications of media use.

A more inclusive view of media literacy recognizes how individuals, institutions, and technologies intersect in shaping public discourse. This broader perspective considers not just how people consume information, but also how they engage with it and respond to it in real-world contexts (Chinedu et al, 2025). In today's digital world, where the lines between news and entertainment often blur, media literacy becomes a civic responsibility. It challenges unequal access to information, questions political manipulation, and equips people to navigate a complex media ecosystem with confidence and care.

3.6 Linguistic Strategies in Propaganda and Misinformation

Linguists in Nigeria have proposed various language-based solutions aimed at fostering social integration and promoting national development. However, there remains a lack of focused strategies for managing peace and security, particularly in the North-Eastern regions afflicted by the Boko Haram insurgency. Analyzing the extensive linguistic resources available in Nigeria, the authors advocate for the

aggressive mobilization of these resources through comprehensive language planning geared toward enhancing peace and security.

The term "linguistic resources" encompasses both human and non-human elements, as well as the knowledge pertaining to language and society, culture, perceptions, attitudes, and behaviors. These resources can be harnessed in two primary ways: through the dissemination of spoken and written materials that elucidate government policies and significant societal issues, and through the oral traditions of the people, such as folktales and proverbs, which can effectively change attitudes on matters of national importance (Paschal-Mbakwe et al, 2024; Anaekwe et al, 2025). The significance of fostering a socially responsible attitude toward national development is underscored by the assertion that the quality of life in Black Africa is deeply rooted in inherited cultural wisdom. The integration of these values into societal frameworks is crucial for achieving sustainable social, economic, and political advancements. Therefore, language is posited as a vital resource for managing peace and security challenges in Nigeria.

3.7 Channels of Dissemination

The radicalization of youth through social media has emerged as a significant factor in the dissemination of violent narratives. Various communication channels, including SMS, WhatsApp groups, radio, and online forums, have been utilized to incite violence among young people. Educational institutions, both primary and secondary, have also been identified as sites for this radicalization, perpetuating messages of resentment toward the broader community.

The glamorization of violence through social media, cinema, and popular culture has further contributed to the radicalization of youth, fostering a mindset that equates violence with prestige and honour (Edwards & Fuller, 2019). Audio recordings of political figures and clerics inciting hatred are circulated among youth, while the dialogues from movies and songs that promote animosity are disseminated through social media platforms. This competition among youth for online recognition has led to an increase in the sharing of violent imagery and rhetoric devoid of context, further fueling sectarian tensions. Language plays a pivotal role in times of conflict, as misinformation significantly contributes to mass violence. Various forms of communication, including propaganda and dramatized narratives, utilize language to persuade and manipulate audiences. Recognizing the multifaceted nature of language in conflict situations is crucial, as it can serve both to incite violence and to foster collective consciousness among affected communities.

3.8 Impact on Residents and Regional Security

Residents of Anambra State have come to acknowledge the profound responsibilities imposed upon them by their geopolitical history and socio-economic engagement in ensuring their own security. This awareness is vividly expressed through the sociolinguistic and sociocultural responses to events such as the arrest of individuals responsible for heinous acts of violence against their community. However, the people's ability to project evidence-based mental frameworks regarding the sustainability of peace efforts remains compromised. Concerns have been raised

regarding potential manipulation by influential figures who may seek to divert attention from pressing issues by focusing on less significant matters (Huff & Higdon, 2018). The capacity of local leadership to address insecurity effectively is often undermined by the prevalence of misinformation, leading to responses that may be biased or misaligned with the community's needs.

The findings suggest that addressing the challenges of propaganda and misinformation requires a concerted effort to strengthen the linguistic and communicative frameworks within the community. This includes promoting the use of the Igbo language in formal contexts as a means of reinforcing cultural identity and facilitating dialogue around issues of significance (Anaekwe et al, 2025). The research advocates for a critical examination of language usage in media and public discourse, aiming to mitigate the adverse effects of sensationalism and propaganda on community cohesion and security.

3.9 The Role of Language in Peacebuilding

In divided societies, language often holds the key to either deepening mistrust or nurturing reconciliation. In the midst of conflict, how language is used can draw people together or push them apart. Researchers like Salguero and Morse (2024) emphasize that inclusive language, when used with care, opens the door to dialogue and trust. Especially in fragile contexts like Nigeria, language does more than transmit information as it carries emotion, identity, and belonging.

In multilingual societies, exclusion from official communication because of language barriers can send strong signals of marginalization. Taiwo and Igwebuike (2015) argue that recognizing and integrating minority languages into peacebuilding strategies helps restore dignity and participation. When people hear peace messages in their own language, it does more than deliver a message as it acknowledges them as stakeholders in the process. In conflict-prone communities, this sense of recognition can go a long way in restoring calm and rebuilding fractured relationships.

The role of media is equally important in shaping how language influences peace or conflict. Peace journalism encourages reporters to go beyond just covering violent events. Instead, it highlights context, solutions, and the voices of those working for peace. Saleem and Hanan (2014) note that media can shape conflict narratives either towards escalation or resolution. When journalists choose words carefully, avoid demonizing language, and report fairly, they contribute to a healthier public discourse.

However, Alfred and Oyebola (2019) warn that media in Nigeria often leans towards sensationalism. Headlines can inflame tensions, and coverage sometimes plays into stereotypes. This not only distorts facts but also influences how communities perceive one another. In this way, media becomes more than a mirror as it shapes what people see and believe. Peace journalism offers an alternative approach, where conflict reporting aims to de-escalate, give voice to all sides, and inform the public with clarity and balance.

Lessons from other African countries offer useful insights. Rwanda's post-genocide recovery showed the importance of using language to heal wounds rather than reopen them. Official discourse shifted away from ethnic categorization and

focused on unity and shared identity. That deliberate shift helped prevent language from becoming a trigger for renewed violence. Similarly, Niger and Mali have embraced local peacebuilding initiatives where community leaders use indigenous languages to mediate disputes. These grassroots efforts succeed in part because people feel heard in their own voice.

On the other hand, Chad presents a more sobering example. Macedo (2019) describe how the marginalization of local languages and overreliance on colonial tongues contributed to national fragmentation. Instead of building consensus, language became a fault line. Ethnic groups felt excluded from decision-making, and public trust weakened. The consequences of this linguistic alienation are still unfolding, showing how failing to account for language can undermine peace efforts.

Conclusion

This study has underscored the powerful and multifaceted role that language plays in shaping conflict narratives, spreading misinformation, and influencing security dynamics—particularly within the fragile context of Anambra State. It became evident that language, far from being a neutral means of communication, serves as a strategic tool often used to incite fear, manipulate perceptions, and sustain propaganda. In conflict zones, emotionally charged expressions and selective framing are used not only to legitimize violence but also to deepen societal divisions. The rise of digital platforms has further complicated this landscape. As explored in the section on misinformation, individuals, often unknowingly, serve as amplifiers of false narratives. Social media, in particular, has become a double-edged sword—connecting communities on one hand, while fueling tensions and conspiracy theories on the other. This unfiltered flow of information has contributed to a climate of insecurity that extends beyond physical violence to psychological and social destabilization.

In Anambra State, these challenges are magnified due to its linguistic diversity, geopolitical relevance, and history of political agitation. The spread of propaganda and misinformation here carries tangible consequences, influencing public opinion, weakening trust in institutions, and creating fear among residents. The analysis revealed that media literacy remains a critical gap. Without the ability to question or interpret what is seen and heard, communities remain vulnerable to manipulation. However, language also holds the potential to build peace. When used with intention and care, it can foster inclusion, encourage dialogue, and de-escalate tension. The study pointed to lessons from both within Nigeria and neighboring countries, where peace journalism, inclusive communication, and community-led discourse have contributed to conflict resolution. These models highlight that communication is not merely about message delivery, but about whose voices are heard, how they are framed, and what truths they promote.

References

Alfred, B., & Oyebola, F. (2019). Media perspectives on Boko Haram insurgency and herdsmen-farmers' crises in Nigeria: An analysis of transitivity in newspaper editorials. *Media and Communication Journal, 7*(3), 88-105.

Al-Sabbagh, H. N. H., Rezvani, E., Al-Jameel, B. J. K., & Hadian, B. (2025). Linguistic Construction of Domination and Demonization in Political Discourse: A Critical Analysis of Donald Trump's Islamophobic Rhetoric. *Journal of New Trends in English Language Learning (JNTELL), 4*(1).

Anaekwe, V. B., Okoye, N. S., Okoye, E., & Ohanyere, C. P. (2025). Manpower planning and organizational performance: A study of Anambra State Ministry of Environment, 2018-2022. *Humanities Horizon, 2*(3), 144-155.

Anaekwe, V. B., Onuigbo, I. O., & Okeke, M. N. (2025). Impact of Digital Tools on Service Delivery Efficiency in Government Ministries, Anambra State (2015–2023). *Journal of Public Policy and Local Government (JPPLG)*, 63-74.

Azuka, I., Nwodom, D., Nwobi, F., Okoye, S., Johnny, U., & Elom, A. (2022). Chauvinism and National Question: Canada in Perspective. *African Journal of Politics and Administrative Studies, 15*(2), 347-362.

Bauman, Z. (2013). *Community: Seeking safety in an insecure world.* John Wiley & Sons.

Blaney, C. B. (2014). *The Language of Political Persuasion: Three Case Studies Exploring "Rhetoric of Coercion"* (Doctoral dissertation, Johns Hopkins University).

Bragazzi, N. L., & Garbarino, S. (2024). Understanding and combating misinformation: An evolutionary perspective. *JMIR infodemiology, 4*(1), e65521.

Chiluwa, I. (2012). Social media networks and the discourse of resistance: A sociolinguistic CDA of Biafra online discourses. Journal of African Communication Research, 3(2), 145-162.

Chinedu, O. E., Chris, U. C., & Sunday, O. N. (2025). The Impact of Arms Proliferation on Social Development in Anambra State Nigeria (2017–2022). *Journal of Public Policy and Local Government (JPPLG)*, 75-80.

Chinedu, O. E., Chris, U. C., & Sunday, O. N. (2025). The Impact of Arms Proliferation on Social Development in Anambra State Nigeria (2017–2022). *Journal of Public Policy and Local Government (JPPLG)*, 75-80.

Clouser, R. (2014). Facing fear: The importance of engaging with fear in development literature. *Progress in Development Studies, 14*(2), 131-146.

David, M. K. (2014). Language, power and manipulation: The use of rhetoric in maintaining political influence. *Frontiers of Language and Teaching, 5*(1), 164-170.

Drałus, D., & Wichłacz, M. (2025). The performativity of weaponized language: manipulation, power, and resistance. *Annals of Social Sciences/Roczniki Nauk Społecznych, 53*(1).

Edwards, E., & Fuller, T. (2019). *Graphic violence: illustrated theories about violence, popular media, and our social lives.* Routledge.

Essien, E. O. (2025). Climate Change Disinformation on Social Media: A Meta-Synthesis on Epistemic Welfare in the Post-Truth Era. *Social sciences, 14*(5), 304.

Hosking, G. (2019). The decline of trust in government. In *Trust in contemporary society* (pp. 77-103). Brill.

Huff, M., & Higdon, N. (2018). *United States of distraction: Media manipulation in post-truth America (and what we can do about it).* City Lights Books.

Hunter, P. (2016). The communications gap between scientists and public: More scientists and their institutions feel a need to communicate the results and nature of research with the public. *EMBO reports, 17*(11), 1513-1515.

Ireju, A. P., Nwigoh, E. M., & Ojo, L. I. (2014). The mass media coverage of the kidnap of the Chibok schoolgirls. Journal of Media Studies, 12(2), 34-47.

Kellner, D., & Share, J. (2019). *The critical media literacy guide: Engaging media and transforming education* (Vol. 2). Brill.

Laniado, D., Volkovich, Y., Scellato, S., Mascolo, C., & Kaltenbrunner, A. (2018). The impact of geographic distance on online social interactions. *Information Systems Frontiers, 20*(6), 1203-1218.

Macedo, D. (2019). Rupturing the yoke of colonialism in foreign language education: An introduction. In *Decolonizing foreign language education* (pp. 1-49). Routledge.

Moustaghfir, S., & Brigui, H. (2024). Navigating critical thinking in the digital era: An informative exploration. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation, 7*(1), 137-143.

Nwaigwe, H. C., Nwobi, F. O., & Okoye, N. S. (2025). A rural security management and food security:: study of south east Nigeria. *Review of Public Administration and Management Journal (ROPAMJ), 22*(1), 15-21.

Oates, S. (2021). Rewired propaganda: Propaganda, misinformation, and populism in the digital age. In *The Routledge companion to media disinformation and populism* (pp. 71-79). Routledge.

Okoro, N., & Emmanuel, N. O. (2018). Beyond misinformation: Survival alternatives for Nigerian media in the "post-truth" era. *African Journalism Studies, 39*(4), 67-90.

Olanrewaju, A. T. (2018). A sociolinguistic approach to security challenges and sustainable national development in Nigeria. International Journal of Sociolinguistics, 6(1), 1-15.

Olanrewaju, A. T. (2018). A sociolinguistic approach to security challenges and sustainable national development in Nigeria. *International Journal of English Literature and Social Sciences, 3*(5), 264458.

Olerede, O. S., & Olorede, O. K. (2015). Peace and conflict management in Nigeria: Mapping the historical role of language in peace journalism in the 21st century. [PDF].

Olorede, K. O., & Olerede, O. (2015). The role of language in conflict management: A study of the role of language in peace journalism in Nigeria. Journal of Communication Studies, 3(1), 45-64.

Oludare, O. (2018). Language as a tool for propaganda and misinformation in conflict zones: Implications for Anambra residents and insecurity. International Journal of Language and Linguistics, 5(4), 220-231.

Oluwadoro, O. J. (2018). Exploring a language-based solution to the problem of insecurity in Boko Haram dominated North Eastern Nigeria. Journal of Linguistics and Language Education, 5(3), 67-85.

Paschal-Mbakwe, D., & Okoronkwo, E. J. (2024). The Relevance of Folktale as a Genre of Folklore and Stimulus for Moral Lessons in African Society. *Awka Journal of English Language and Literary Studies, 11*(1), 120-136.

Pate, U. A., Gambo, D., & Mohammed Ibrahim, A. (2019). The impact of fake news and the emerging post-truth political era on Nigerian polity: A review of literature. Africa Journal of Communication, 1(2), 12-35.

Sadeghi, K., & Alinasab, M. (2020). Academic conflict in applied linguistics research article discussions: The case of native and non-native writers. *English for Specific Purposes, 59,* 17-28.

Saleem. N and Hanan M. N (2014). Media and conflict resolution: Toward building a relationship model. *J. Pol. Stud., 21,* 179.

Salguero, R., & Morse, B. L. (2024). Fostering belonging beyond the health office through inclusive language. *NASN School Nurse, 39*(2), 89-95.

Spencer, E. (2024). *Narrative Control: Media Bias, Censorship, and Elections 2024: The Fight for Truth Amidst Media Narratives and Election Propaganda.* Centurion Press.

Taiwo, R., & Igwebuike, E. (2015). Representation of Bakassi Peninsula conflict in Nigeria and Cameroon print media: A critical discourse approach. Journal of Peacebuilding & Development, 10(2), 43-58.

Wilde, G. (2024). From Panic to Policy: The Limits of Foreign Propaganda and the Foundations of an Effective Response (Spring 2024).

Yusof, N., Kaur, A., Dalib, S., Ramli, R., & Awang-Hashim, R. (2021). Group identity and extremism: The reflective experiences of youths regarding persuasive communication. *Children and Youth Services Review, 120,* 105743.

Zamir, M., & Kaunert, C. (2025). *Strategic Communications' Role in Counter-Terrorism: The Power of Counter-Narratives.* Springer Nature.

.

Short bio of the author

Amaka Yvonne Okafor is a dedicated lecturer in Nigeria with four years of experience in academia, renowned for her expertise in linguistics and commitment to education. She excels in communication, administration, and teaching methods that foster an inclusive learning environment. Proficient in English, she possesses key skills such as compassion, adaptability, and mentoring. Currently, as a Lecturer at Nwafor Orizu College of Education in Nsugbe, Amaka contributes to teaching, research, and administrative tasks, including course development, student assessment, and research project involvement. She offers personal guidance and support to students, maintains high academic standards, and leads class discussions. Amaka holds a Ph.D. in Linguistics from Imo State University and has additional qualifications in education and linguistics from respected institutions. Her research is published in reputable journals, and she actively participates in academic conferences. Outside of academia, Amaka enjoys traveling, reading, and has a passion for comedy, epic films, and documentaries. Her multifaceted interests complement her academic achievements, making her a well-rounded educator and scholar dedicated to inspiring and empowering her students while contributing to linguistics research.